

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/577,551	05/24/2000	Wayne K. Dunshee	53481USA1B	5353	
7:	590 09/17/2002				
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER		
3M Innovative Properties Company P O Box 33427			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS		
St Paul, MN 5					
Dt 1 uui, 1411 v	3133 3 127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714	13	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



DATE MAILED:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tredemark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	FUNC DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	THIS THAT IS			
-					
			EX	EXAMINER	
		<u>├</u> -			
		Į.			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		<del> </del>			

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) a is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed \( \frac{\frac{1}{26}\lambda}{20} \) has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
I Imm o
Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: #-13, 16-45, and 49-52
However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of Daragraph  4 of the office action of 5/24/02.
4 of the office action of sylvacome the rejection because
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.    Other   Soc. attacked interview   Summant   PATRICK D. MILAND
Other See attacked interview gumany PATRICK D. MILAND PRIMARY EXAMINER
"U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62704